# **University of Waterloo Water Quality Analysis: July 2015**

Data Collection and Report Completed by Kaitlyn Hall and Shannon Palmer

Supervised by Dr. Colin Yates

# **Table of Contents**

1Introduction	
1.1Contract Summary	
1.2Background Information	
2Lake Profile	4
2.1Fox Lake	
3Methodology	4
3.1Field Methodology	
3.2Lab Methodology	4
4Parameters and Water Quality Standards	
4.1Temperature	
4.2Secchi Disc	
4.3Dissolved Oxygen	
4.4Conductivity	<u></u> 5
4.5pH	<u>5</u>
4.6Nitrate	<u>6</u>
4.7Nitrite	<u>6</u>
4.8Phosphate	<u>6</u>
4.9Total Phosphorous	<u>6</u>
5Results	6
6Works Cited	9
7Appendix	11
7.1Field Observation: Fox Lake	

# **Table of Figures**

# **Table of Tables**

# 1 Introduction

# 1.1 Contract Summary

The University of Waterloo Summit Centre for the Environment (WSCE) has partnered with the Muskoka Lake associations to create a lake monitoring program. This lake monitoring program is meant to be a follow up on water testing done by the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change. The lake sampled and tested in this report was Fox Lake. This lake was sampled and tested twice, two weeks apart from each other, between July 1<sup>st</sup> and July 31<sup>st</sup> 2015. Bi-weekly reports were also sent out to the partners as well as the supervisor. Parameters that were investigated included: secchi, water temperature, sampling depth, dissolved oxygen (DO), conductivity, pH, nitrates (NO<sub>3</sub>), nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>), phosphates (PO<sub>4</sub>) and total phosphorus (TP). All samples were collected in a clean 500 mL bottle and were analyzed within 24 hours of collection. This report contains both the field and lab methods in addition to all the field and lab data for all locations. The data is intended to be part of a long-term monitoring project in order to detect any changes in the quality of the water over time.

This report outlines the methods, data collected and statistical analysis as stated in the Contract Obligations. The objective of this report was to assess and analyze the current state of the lake that were sampled and tested. The long-term goals for this project are to annually sample the lakes in order to identify trends over time. The benefits of long-term water monitoring include detection of positive or negative changes in the data from large annual data sets (Halliday et al., 2012). This report will hopefully be used in the future for long-term monitoring effects on these lakes.

#### 1.2 Background Information

There are a variety of factors which effect fresh water systems including human activity, creating a threat on biodiversity as well as ecosystem functions (Hawryshyn, Rühland, Quinlan, Smol, & Vinebrooke, 2012). It is therefore, important to test and gain years of data on watersheds and lakes to have an understanding of changes over time. Water testing can also give a baseline of where a lake is and over the years if this varies significantly (Loftis & Ward, 1980). In addition, data that is collected in similar areas over a time span can be used for management decision making for a given area (Loftis & Ward, 1980). This project, for the most part is a continuation of data collection on the water quality of lakes in the surrounding Muskoka area. Using the water samples collected, the physical and chemical components were found and recorded. The aim of this project was to collect data to have on an annual basis for these lakes, to help gain a better understanding of their health.

#### 2 Lake Profile

#### 2.1 Fox Lake

Fox Lake is located 16.0 km northwest of Huntsville's town centre. A river running along Ravenscliffe Road connects Fox Lake with Buck Lake. The riparian zone is dense but developed in most areas. There are a number of cottages and residences along the lake.

There are also two children's camps on the lake: Camp Winnebagoe on the south end and Romuva on the north end. Hoodstown Dam is located at the southernmost point of Fox Lake.




Figure 21 Map of Fox Lake and Sample Sites (Google Earth, 2015).

Table 21 Fox Lake Characteristics (MNR, 2010).

Surface Area	Mean Depth	Max Depth	Perimeter
142.0 Ha	6.0 m	12.0 m	8.1 km

Table 22 GPS Coordinates for sample sites at Fox Lake.

A	N 45° 23' 33.2"	D	N 45° 22' 36.1"
	W-079° 21' 12.8"		W-079° 21' 10.6"
В	N 45° 23' 26.3"	Е	N 45° 22' 50.0"
	W-079° 21' 18.1"		W-079° 21' 04.8"
С	N 45° 23' 26.5"	F	N45° 21' 59.1"
	W-079° 21' 48.1"		W-079° 20' 48.7"

# 3 Methodology

Both field and lab work were required for this assessment. Each lake was sampled twice and six (6) samples were taken per lake per sampling day. Sample locations were chosen based on prior sampling records collected by the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC). This aided to limit variation between past water sampling and provided more consistent comparisons between past sampling results. For those lakes without prior sampling data available, sample sites were selected to ensure appropriate representation of lake water quality samples and according to community partner input. Sites were evenly spaced apart and below the thermocline (Quay, Broecker, & Hesslein, 1980).

## 3.1 Field Methodology

Sampling days for each lake were separated by more than seven days. GPS coordinates were used to mark a sample location and aided in locating the site for the second sampling. Weather conditions were recorded at start and end of each lake sampling with a Kestrel unit. General characteristics were noted of the area, such as riparian zone, cottage/human presence, boat traffic or other features noted by the lake community partners.

Sampling procedures were followed according to the MOECC's Lake Partner Program guidelines. Water clarity, thermocline depth and water temperature were measured in the field for each sampling site. The dissolved oxygen, pH, conductivity, nitrite, nitrate, phosphate, and total phosphorous were measured in the laboratory according to current procedures provided by the HACH Company. Water samples were taken from just below the thermocline when available. The thermocline was found by lowering a Thermo-depth sampler and measuring for water temperature changes exceeding more than 4°C over a distance of one metre. Once the thermocline was located, the temperature and depth were recorded before lowering a Van Dorn sampler to just beyond that depth (CCME, 2011). Pre-labeled sample containers were conditioned with three (3) 50 ml aliquots of sample water before filling to minimize contamination from possible residuals (EPA, 2012; CCME, 2011). Bottles were filled to avoid air pockets and ensure higher accuracy in the lab for dissolved oxygen measurement (CCME, 2011). A secchi disc was used to measure water clarity by examining the attenuation of light through the water (CCME, 2011). The disc was lowered from the shaded side of the boat until the disc disappeared (CCME, 2011). The depth of the secchi disc was recorded. All other parameters were measured as soon as possible upon return to the WSCE lab. The parameters measured and other techniques used in the field followed MOECC guidelines for quality assurance.

# 3.2 Lab Methodology

Dissolved oxygen, conductivity and pH were measured immediately upon the return to WSCE from the field with a HACH Multimeter. Nitrite, nitrate, phosphate and total phosphorous were measured using the D2700 HACH spectrophotometer following procedures described in the HACH spectrophotometer manual (HACH Company, 2013). The method used for nitrate was the Cadmium Reduction Method #8192 Low Range (0.01)

to 0.50 mg/L). The nitrite method was the USEPA Diazotization #8507 Low Range (0.002 to 0.300 mg/L). The reactive phosphate method was the USEPA PhosVer3 Ascorbic Acid #8048 (0.02 to 2.50 mg/L). The method used for total phosphorus was DR2700 #10210 Ultra Low Range (HACH Company, 2011). All testing was completed within 24 hours of sampling to avoid decomposition. Samples were retained for 24 hours after testing was completed, in case retesting was necessary, and disposed of after results confirmed. There were some modifications to the HACH methods. For the nitrate and nitrite experiments, all the reactions happened in a 50 mL conical tube with 15-20 mL of sample and then 10 mL of the reacted sample was transferred into a sample cell.

# 4 Parameters and Water Quality Standards

Parameters measured were chosen by the community partner because of their ability to indicate the health of a lake (WHO, 2011; EPA, 2012). Guidelines describing appropriate or safe levels of measured parameters were found in the MOECC's Provincial Water Quality Objectives (PWQO) and the World Health Organization's Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality (MOECC, 1994; WHO 2011). PWQO measure the aquatic toxicity, bioaccumulation, and mutagenicity of a water source in order to identify the quality of water for human recreation purposes and overall health of the lake (MOECC, 1994). In order to maintain the PWQO, the water quality of lakes in Ontario should be monitored regularly and compared to appropriate standards.

# 4.1 Temperature

The temperature of a water source can directly affect many of the physical, biological, and chemical factors of aquatic organisms (Environment Canada, 2013). If the temperature rises above the tolerance for a specific organism it can lead to detrimental effects (Environment Canada, 2013). Temperature can also affect other parameters within the water, such as, dissolved oxygen. High water temperatures can decrease oxygen levels and increase algal growth, while low water temperatures can increase oxygen levels (CCME, 2011).

#### 4.2 Secchi Disc

Secchi discs are used to provide a visual measure of water clarity and optical depth (CCME, 2011). A secchi disc is lowered into the body of water in a shaded location; the best time of day to sample secchi depth is midday (CCME, 2011). The deeper the secchi disc reading is, the clearer the lake. The CCME (2011) recommends that secchi measurements should be made every two weeks between June and October, if possible. Secchi depth provides an idea of how turbid the water. High turbidity can be caused by soil erosion, waste discharge, urban runoff and excessive algal growth (EPA, 2012). The Provincial Water Quality Guidelines states that if the water body is for recreational use, and

the bottom is not visible, the water should have a secchi reading of at least 1.2 m (MOECC, 1994).

# 4.3 Dissolved Oxygen

Dissolved oxygen (DO) is present in water due to photosynthetic activity and diffusion (CCME, 1993). The DO concentration is dependent on the temperature and atmospheric pressure within the water (CCME, 2011). Fast moving water will have higher DO concentrations due to the mixing of water with air (CCME, 1993). Oxygen is required for basic life processes. Higher levels can better support some sensitive lake species and is used as an indicator of water quality. The presence of agriculture, industry and deforestation can lower dissolved oxygen levels, because runoff from these sources can react with oxygen through decomposition reactions (CCME, 1993). Recommended levels for cold-water systems are no lower than 9.5 mg/L (CCME, 1993).

## 4.4 Conductivity

Conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to conduct electricity. This parameter is affected by the number ions that are dissolved in the water (EPA, 2012). If a lake were to have a high amount of inorganic solids, the water would be more conductive, whereas if the lake were to have more amounts of organic solids than the water it would be less conductive (EPA, 2012). The conductivity for lake water should be below 500 microSiemens/centimeter. If a lake were to have a higher conductivity than the suggested limit, the water may not be suitable for living organisms (EPA, 2012).

# 4.5 pH

The pH of a solution is a measure of the concentration of H<sup>+</sup> ions. The pH has a scale from 0-14, where a pH below 7 is acidic and a pH above 7 is basic. A pH of 7 is considered to be neutral (Environment Canada, 2013). Water that has a pH from 6.5-9 is suitable for aquatic organisms (Environment Canada, 2013). The organisms that are most sensitive to extreme changes in pH are young fish and benthic invertebrates. The pH of a water body can be altered by acid rain, wastewater discharges and drainage from coniferous forests (Environment Canada, 2013).

#### 4.6 Nitrate

Nitrate is an essential nutrient for plants, however in excess can be considered a contaminate (EPA, 2012). When nitrate is in excess it can accelerate eutrophication by causing an increase in plant growth and changing the types of organisms found in the water. High nitrate levels can also lower the dissolved oxygen level and increase temperature (EPA, 2012). Sources of nitrate contamination are wastewater treatment plants, failing septic systems, runoff from fertilized lawns and manure storage sites. The natural level of nitrate in freshwater is commonly less than 1 mg/L, however, in effluent of some wastewater treatment plants nitrate levels can be 30 mg/L (EPA, 2012). Health Canada

states that the maximum nitrate level allowable in drinking water is 45 mg/L (Health Canada, 2012).

#### 4.7 Nitrite

Nitrite is usually found in minimal concentrations, but it can be damaging. The concentration increases with chloro-aminated waters, which is a result of wastewater treatment (WHO, 2011). When exposed to oxygen, nitrite quickly converts to nitrate, which is part of the reason why it is found in such low levels (Health Canada, 2011). It is naturally present due to the nitrogen cycle, but it can be present in higher levels due to agriculture, fertilizers, waste, and industry input (Health Canada, 2012). Infants are more susceptible to health risks from increased nitrite levels, but the common health concern related to nitrite is methemoglobinemia, which impairs the ability of blood cells to bind with oxygen (Health Canada, 2012). The maximum acceptable nitrite concentration in drinking water is 3 mg/L (Health Canada, 2012).

# 4.8 Phosphate

Phosphate (orthophosphate) is an inorganic form of phosphorus and an essential nutrient. Aquatic plants use orthophosphate and convert it to organic phosphate for their tissue (EPA, 2012). Phosphate tests measure only the orthophosphate form of phosphorus. Phosphate stimulates the growth of plankton and aquatic plants to provide food for fish. However, human or animal waste, industrial effluents and fertilizer runoff (Oram, n.d.) can provide excess phosphate conditions causing large growth bursts of undesirable organisms and accelerated eutrophication disrupting aquatic ecosystems (Oram, n.d.). Human consumption of phosphate has not been found to be a threat to human health. Therefore, there are no "acceptable" levels for phosphate in drinking water. However, excessive plant growth due to high phosphate levels can occur at concentrations above 0.03 mg/L (Fleming & Fraser, 1999).

## 4.9 Total Phosphorous

Total phosphorous is the measure of all forms of phosphorous, including organic, inorganic and poly (EPA, 2012). Phosphorus occurs naturally in rocks and mineral deposits as poly-phosphorous but higher levels can occur as a result of agricultural runoff (CCME, 2011). Phosphorus is a limiting nutrient in freshwater and too much can be harmful resulting in algal blooms and eutrophication (CCME, 2012). Canadian guidelines provide 'trigger ranges' indicating the health of the system according to the total phosphorous level (CCME, 2004). displays these ranges for different systems. The lakes in this study are typically oligotrophic, not exceeding a level of  $10 \,\mu\text{g/L}$ .

Table 43: Canadian total phosphorous trigger ranges (CCME, 2004).

Trophic Status	Total Phosphorous (μg/L)
Ultra-oligotrophic	< 4
Oligotrophic	4 – 10
Mesotrophic	10 - 20
Meso-eutrophic	20 – 35
Eutrophic	35 – 100
Hyper-eutrophic	> 100

#### 5 Results

Results were recorded from field and laboratory measurements, and are listed below. Results were separated according to lake. Statistical analyses were conducted to find summary characteristics and significant differences between the sampling days. The summary characteristics included the maximum and minimum values, as well as, the mean and standard deviation for each parameter. The summary characteristics can be compared with recommended levels discussed in section Parameters and Water Quality Standards. The significant differences were evaluated with a paired sample-test between sample days, and are indicated by the p-value. When the p-value is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected and the difference between the sampled day values is significant with 95% confidence. These p-values are noted in the results. Significant differences are attributed to consistent changes in the parameter between sampling days and across all sampling sites. When the p-value is greater than 0.05 the null hypothesis cannot be rejected and the changes between the sampling days could be due to chance or experimental error.

Table 54 Raw data for Fox Lake field and lab measurements.

Sampl	Thermo	Sample	Sample	Secch	DO	Cond	рН	NO <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	$PO_4^3$	TP
e Day	cline	Depth	Temp	i	(mg/L	(μS/c		(mg/	(mg/L	-	(μg/L
1	(Y/N)	(m)	(°C)	Depth	)	m)		L)	)	(mg/	)
				(m)						L)	
A	Y	7	8.5	1.5	7.45	59.3	5.78		0.001	<0.0	6
								0.01		5	
В	Y	6.5	12.5	1.5	8.24	23.42	5.73		0.001	<0.0	19
	3.7	4.7	15.0	1.7	( (0	20.00	7.06	0.01	0.001	5	0
С	Y	4.5	15.2	1.5	6.69	20.99	5.86	<0.0	0.001	<0.0	8
D	Y	8	7.4	1.5	7.09	14.2	3.74	1	0.000	5 <0.0	12
ע	ĭ	8	7.4	1.3	7.09	14.2	3.74	0.01	0.000	5	12
Е	Y	4.5	14.4	1.75	701	99.5	3.89	<0.0	0.001	<0.0	7
L	1	7.5	17.7	1.75	701	)).3	3.67	1	0.001	5	,
F	N	1.5	20.9	1.75	8.29	20.81	5.93	<0.0	0.002	<0.0	6
								1		5	
Sampl											
e Day											
2											
A	Y	6	10.3	1.75	7.76	17.40	6.07		0.003	<0.0	2
								0.01		5	
В	Y	6	9.3	1.25	8.25	16.68	6.05		0.003	<0.0	9
								0.01		5	
C	N	3.5	19.5	1.25	8.11	19.52	6.10		0.003	<0.0	5
	3.7		11.2	1.77	6.04	17.61	5.05	0.01	0.005	5	
D	Y	6	11.3	1.75	6.84	17.61	5.85	0.01	0.005	<0.0	8
E	N	1.5	1.5	1.5	6.20	17.70	5.00	0.01	0.004	5	5
Е	N	4.5	15.	1.5	6.30	17.78	5.98	0.01	0.004	<0.0 5	) 3
								0.01		L	

F	N	1.25	22.1	1.5	7.79	20.34	6.17		0.003	< 0.0	5
								0.01		5	
Statisti	cal analys	ses of Fox	Lake (N	= 12; p	< 0.05)	)					
Maxi	n/a										
mum		8	22.1	1.75	701	99.5	6.17	0.01	0.005	0	19
Minim	n/a										
um		1.25	7.4	1.25	6.3	14.2	3.74	0.01	0	0	2
Mean	n/a		13.8666	1.541	65.31	28.96	5.595		0.002		7.666
		4.9375	6667	667	75	25	833	0.01	25	n/a	667
p-	n/a	0.04206	0.25133	0.465	0.363	0.180	0.070		0.006		0.026
value		0563	7594	023	97	639	899	n/a	748	n/a	902
Standa	n/a										
rd											
Deviat		2.07836	4.90812	0.179	200.1	25.18	0.842		0.001		4.355
ion		5289	5607	435	892	399	857	0	485	n/a	422

<sup>\*</sup> The nitrite and total phosphorous levels for sample day 1 and 2 are very different. This could be because of sampling error, errors in the lab or weather conditions (see section 7 Appendix).

# **6 Works Cited**

CCME. (2004). Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life. Phosphorus: Canadian Guidance Framework for the Management of Freshwater

- Systems. http://cegg-rcge.ccme.ca/download/en/205?redir=1439582439
- CCME. (2011). Protocols Manual for Water Quality Sampling in Canada. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment.
- DR 2700 Spectrophotometer User Manual. (2013). Germany: HACH Company. (Original work published 2007).
- Environment Canada. (2013). Canadian Aquatic Biomonitoring Network: Wadeable Streams Field Manual. *Environment Canada*. Retrieved August 5, 2014, from http://www.ec.gc.ca/rcba-cabin/default.asp?lang=En&n=D7DEA90B-1&offset=6&toc=show
- Fleming, R., Fraser, H. (1999). Nitrate and Phosphorus Levels in Selected Surface Water Sites in Southern Ontario- 1964-1994. *Ridgetown College-University of Guelph*. Retrieved August 8, 2014 from http://www.ridgetownc.uoguelph.ca/research/documents/fleming\_nitphos.PDF
- Health Canada. (2012). Nitrate and Nitrite in Drinking Water. Retrieved July 28, 2014, from http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/consult/\_2012/nitrite-nitrite/draft-ebauche-eng.php#a10.0
- Halliday, S. J., Wade, A. J., Skeffington, R. A., Neal, C., Reynolds, B., Rowland, P., et al. (2012). An analysis of long-term trends, seasonality and short-term dynamics in water quality data from Plynlimon, Wales. *Science of The Total Environment*, 434, 186-200.
- HACH Company. (2011). *Ultra Low Range Total and Reactive Phosphorus*.
- Hawryshyn, J., Rühland, K. M., Quinlan, R., Smol, J. P., & Vinebrooke, R. D. (2012). Long-term water quality changes in a multiple-stressor system: a diatom-based paleolimnological study of Lake Simcoe (Ontario, Canada). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 69(1), 24–40. http://doi.org/10.1139/f2011-134
- Kearney Watershed Environmental Foundation (2002-2004). "Gartner Lee Water Quality Study." Retrieved June 5th, 2014 from http://www.kwef.ca/info01.htm
- Loftis, J. C., & Ward, R. C. (1980). Water quality monitoring—Some practical sampling frequency considerations. *Environmental Management*, *4*(6), 521–526. http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01876889
- MOECC. (1994). Policies, Guidelines, Provincial Water Quality Objectives. Retrieved June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2014. ISBN: 0-7778-3494-4
- MOECC. (1994). Sampling Procedures. *Lake Partner Program*. Retrieved May 30, 2014, from http://www.foca.on.ca/lake-partner
- Oram, B. (n.d.). Phosphates in the Environment. Water Research Centre. Retrieved August

- 5, 2014 from http://www.water-research.net/index.php/phosphates
- Quay, P., Broecker, W. S., & Hesslein, R. H. (1980). Vertical diffusion rates determined by tritium tracer experiments in the thermocline and hypolimnion of two lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 25(2), 201-218.
- Statistics Canada. 2012. Kearney, Ontario (Code 3549018) and Parry Sound, Ontario (Code 3549) (table). Census Profile. 2011 Census. Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 98-316-XWE. Ottawa. Retrieved July 29, 2014 from http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2011/dp-pd/prof/index.cfm? Lang=E
- Water Quality Conditions. (2012, March 6). *United States Environmental Protection Agency*. Retrieved May 30, 2014, from http://water.epa.gov/type/rsl/monitoring/vms
- World Health Organization. (2011). *Guidelines for drinking-water quality* (4th ed.). Geneva.

# 7 Appendix

7.1 Field Observation: Fox Lake

**Table 7-1 Weather Data for Fox Lake** 

Day 1. July	7, 2015	Day 2. July 21, 2015			
Weather	Temperature: 22.3°C	Weather	Temperature: 18.8°C		
(Start)	Wind Speed: 0.4m/s	(Start)	Wind Speed: 1.4m/s		
	Humidity: 70.8%		Humidity: 79.4%		
Sample	Time	Sample	Time		
A	8:35	A	9:39		
В	9:09	В	9:53		
С	9:31	C	10:04		
D	9:53	D	10:32		
Е	10:15	Е	10:18		
F	10:40	F	10:50		
Weather	Temperature: 25.5°C	Weather	Temperature: 20.1°C		
(End)	Wind Speed: 1.1 m/s	(End)	Wind Speed: 3.0m/s		
	Humidity: 65.4%		Humidity: 66.7%		
Notes	Overcast, windy, storm	Notes	Windy, choppy water, overcast		
	coming in		at first but sunny by point B		